PCC Received alecember 29, 1993 @ 2:00 p. n. Alama a. Bradehaw

ORIGINAL

1	TRANSCRIPT OF PROCEEDINGS KET FILE COPY ORIGINAL
2	Before the
3	FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION Washington, D.C. 20554
4	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
5	IN THE MATTER OF: DOCKET NO. 93-261
6	QUALITY COMMUNICATIONS CORPORATION
7	Nelsonville, Ohio
8	RECEIVED
9	JAN 1 0 1994
10	-EDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION OFFICE OF THE SECRETARY
11	OFFICE OF THE SCOWE CO.
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	1 1000
24	DATE OF CONFERENCE: December 17, 1993 VOLUME: 1
25	PLACE OF CONFERENCE: Washington, D.C. PAGES: 1-6

FREE STATE REPORTING, INC.
Court Reporting Depositions
D.C. Area (301) 261-1902
Balt. & Annap. (410) 974-0947

1	Before the RECEIVED FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION
2	Washington, D.C. 20554 JAN 1 0 1994
3	FEDERAL COMPLIANCE
4	OFFICE OF THE SECRETARY
5	In the matter of:) MM DOCKET NO. 93-261
6	QUALITY COMMUNICATIONS CORPORATION)
7	Nelsonville, Ohio
8	
9	The above-entitled matter came on for prehearing conference pursuant to notice before Judge Edward Luton,
10	Administrative Law Judge, at 2000 L Street, N.W., Washington, D.C., in Courtroom No. 1 on Friday, December 17,
11	1993 at 9:00 a.m.
12	
13	APPEARANCES:
14	On behalf of the Mass Media Bureau:
15	Y. PAULETTE LADEN, Esquire
16	2025 M Street, N.W. Suite 7212
17	Washington, D.C. 20554
18	On behalf of Quality Communications Corporation:
19	No appearances.
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

FREE STATE REPORTING, INC.
Court Reporting Depositions
D.C. Area (301) 261-1902
Balt. & Annap. (410) 974-0947

1	I	N D E X	1
2			
3			PAGE
4	Opening Statement by Judge Lu	ıton	3
5	Statement by Ms. Laden		3
6			
7			
8			
9			
10			
11			
12			
13			
14			
15			
16			
17			
18			
19			
20			
21			
22			
23			
24			
25	Conference Began: 9:00 a.m.	Conference Ended:	9:05 a.m.

1 PROCEEDINGS 2 JUDGE LUTON: May I have the appearances, please, starting on my left? 3 MS. LADEN: For the Chief of the Mass Media Bureau, 4 Paulette Laden. 5 6 JUDGE LUTON: All right. It appears that Quality 7 Communications Corporation is not represented this morning. am not aware that that applicant for renewal license has even 8 9 filed a Notice of Appearance in this proceeding, nor have I 10 heard anything at all. Has the Bureau? 11 MS. LADEN: Yes, we have. First of all, I checked 12 the Commission's -- the secretary's files this morning, which 13 are up-to-date as of last night, and there is no Notice of 14 Appearance. 15 No notice of appearance, all right. JUDGE LUTON: 16 MS. LADEN: But I called -- the person who signs the 17 renewal application is John Frame, who is the president, and 18 the address on the renewal application is the official address 19 in the Commission's records and that's where the Hearing 20 Designation Order would've been sent. There are no records as 21 to where it was sent, but I'm pretty certain that's where it 22 would've been sent. 23 In any event, we had a letter after the renewal 24 application was filed. The Bureau received a letter from 25 someone whose name is John Hill, who is the treasurer

presumably, and he signed it and gave a different address

which is in another part of the state. So I called -- I tried

to reach Frame and there was no listing -- the station -
there's no listing for the station. I obtained a previous

phone number for Mr. Frame. He has moved from that residence

and the person I talked to didn't know where he could be

reached.

So I tried to reach Hill through directory assistance. The phone number that Hill gave in his letter has been disconnected. But I tried to reach him through directory assistance and I did get in touch with him and he is the same Hill and we've been talking for several weeks. He had not received -- knew nothing about the Hearing Designation Order, so I sent him a copy of the Hearing Designation Order and the order assigning you as the Judge and setting this prehearing conference and the hearing date.

He told me he would let me know what -- how he intended to proceed. He indicated he thought he might have a distress sale buyer and I told him a little bit about the Commission's rules. I also told him that he needed to file a Notice -- at that point, the twenty-day period -- I believe it was twenty days. It may have been thirty in this case.

But in any event, at that point, the period for filing a Notice of Appearance had expired, the original period. So I told him that he should file a Notice of

Appearance immediately and let Your Honor know that he had not received the Hearing Designation Order and that's why he was filing late. But we've talked several times on the telephone about his plans and I got a call from him a couple of days ago where he indicated that he would not prosecute the application and was not going to appear here this morning.

JUDGE LUTON: All right. Those are really, truly extraordinary efforts on the part of the Bureau counsel to make sure that this applicant was aware of what was going on and what needed to be done and it seems clear enough that this applicant has chosen to not participate.

MS. LADEN: I did want to -- I forgot one thing I wanted to mention for the record, Your Honor, and that is that even though the address that we had, the official address that we had for the station, according to Mr. Hill, is out of date, the Bureau did send him a letter on January 29, 1993. Mr. Hill was sent a letter at his current address, the same address that I have been sending him things to, and that letter warned that if he did not -- if he continued to be silent without authority, that he was facing dismissal of his renewal application.

So I think it's important to note that even though they did not receive a copy of the Hearing Designation Order, they, in fact, knew that the Commission was contemplating some action about the renewal application.

1	JUDGE LUTON: I'm not even willing to concede that a
2	copy of the Hearing Designation Order wasn't received. I'm
3	prepared to presume regularity in the delivery of the United
4	States Mail. I think that's a presumption that I'm entitled
5	to indulge in and I do. Under the circumstances, I will
6	entertain a motion to dismiss this renewal application, if the
7	Bureau chooses to make one.
8	MS. LADEN: Yes, Your Honor. I would like to move
9	that the renewal application be dismissed.
10	JUDGE LUTON: That motion is granted and the
11	application of Quality Communications Corporation, Application
12	for Renewal of License of Station WYNO(AM) in Nelsonville,
13	Ohio is dismissed for failure to prosecute and I think that
14	will conclude our business here this morning.
15	MS. LADEN: Your Honor, was Your Honor intending to
16	issue an order to that effect?
17	JUDGE LUTON: I think I should.
18	MS. LADEN: Yeah, we need something for the
19	computers.
20	JUDGE LUTON: Computer problems. I certainly will.
21	I will issue a writing today. Thank you very much.
22	MS. LADEN: Thank you, Your Honor.
23	(Whereupon, at 9:05 a.m. on Friday, December 17,
24	1993, the prehearing conference was adjourned.
25	

CERTIFICATE OF REPORTER, TRANSCRIBER, AND PROOFREADER

Name	CATION OF QUALITY COMMUNICATION CORPORATION
MM DOCKET NO	93-261
Docket No.	
WASHINGTON,	D.C.
Place	
DECEMBER 17,	1993
Date	
provisions of professional Work and have comparing the recording actinal proofe	dentified proceeding, in accordance with applicable of the current Federal Communications Commission's verbatim reporting and transcription Statement or verified the accuracy of the transcript by (1) he typewritten transcript against the reporting or ecomplished at the proceeding and (2) comparing the ded typewritten transcript against the reporting or ecomplished at the proceeding.
December 27.	1993/Mayral Flushman
Date	Marykae Fieishman , Transcriber
	Free State Reporting, Inc.
December 28.	
DECEMBET 78.	1993 A 16K () (C) Addl
Date	Diane S. Windell , Proofreader
~	
Date	Diane S. Windell , Proofreader
·	Diane S. Windell , Proofreader Free State Reporting, Inc.

FREE STATE REPORTING, INC.

Court Reporting Depositions
D.C. Area 261-1902
Balt. & Annap. 974-0947